

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,454	•	08/21/2003	William F. Kiesman	A085 CON	A085 CON 9532	
1473	7590	12/07/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & N	EAVE I	P GROUP	BERCH, MARK L			
ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105				1624		
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/646,454	KIESMAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Mark L. Berch	1624

	Examiner	AILOIIIL	
	Mark L. Berch	1624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followances the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant times periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date to the second s	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the first term of the first term o</li></ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	•	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		_	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,11,39,41 and 42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	•	—	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See memo.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
·		Mark L. Berch Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,454

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 11/14/05 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.

The rejections under 35 USC 112 are overcome.

The traverse of the rejection under 35 USC 103 is unpersuasive. Applicants state that the adamantyl group is "variously substituted", and point to e.g. Figure 1A as showing various substituents and say that their substitutions are "much more than 'a methyl group stuck on". This is all true, applicants do provide more than just the methyl group, but the list of various substituents does include the methyl group, and the claims do not require any more than the methyl group. That is, the claims do read on having just an adamantyl substituted by one methyl group. It is those compounds which are structurally obvious, not the ones which have the various other choices. With regard to the structural obviousness of compounds with just an additional methyl group, that was covered previously with the long list of cases which have established that such a change is obvious. This is by now a settled point of law.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Berch whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 · 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark L. Berch
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624

12/1/05